IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 18288 of 2005

Between:

Mulka Rajeswar, S/o Late Rajanna,
R/o Nizamabad, Nizamabad District

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Union of India, rep by Secrtary, Ministry of Home Affairs (FF

Division), Loknaik Bhavan Khan Market,
New Delhi
2 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep by Principal

Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad

.....RESPONDENTS

ORDER:

Heard at the stage of admission. There is no representation on behalf of the first respondent.

Learned Government Pleader for Revenue appears for the second respondent and states that the second respondent has already sent up a recommendation, dated 15.04.2005 to the first respondent with regard to the petitioners claim for the freedom fighters pension.

The grievance of the petitioner is that despite the second respondent having sent up proposals recommending the petitioner's case on 15.04.2005, the first respondent has not acted in the manner.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, the writ petition is disposed of directing the first respondent to expeditiously, in any event with a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, consider the petitioner's claim for grant of a freedom fighters pension duly considering the recommendations of the second respondent, dated 15.04.2005, in the correspondence bearing Ref.No.29103/FF.II-A(1)/2003.

19-08-2005

kvrm